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Nature Speaks the Language of Mathematics

“The universal cannot be read until we have learnt the language and become familiar with the characters in which it is written. It is written in mathematical language, and the letters are triangles, circles and other geometrical figures, without which means it is humanly impossible to comprehend a single word.”

Galileo Galilei, 1564 – 1642

Galilei Galilei’s insights from the early seventeenth century appear to be formative for the steel sculptor and engineer Hans Uhlmann (1900 – 1975) and the British sculptor and technical draftsman Lynn Chadwick (1914 – 2003) as well as the philosopher and sculptor Katja Strunz (1917 – 1990). All three artists and their works have deep roots in the European history of scientific research. The polymath Galilei did not only propose a new model of planetary orbits and speed of falling bodies but was the first to describe the surface of the moon with its craters and cataclysms and think about the energies affecting every object on Earth. Defying gravity, recognising mathematical structures in nature and observing its character representative commonalities of Galilei’s works with those of Lynn Chadwick, Hans Uhlmann and Katja Strunz in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries.

Hans Uhlmann, the first steel sculptor in Germany

Hans Uhlmann was born in Berlin in the year 1900. After the end of World War I he completed a course at Technische Universität Berlin, which focused on mathematical and technical problems of construction. At the same time he was able to visit exhibitions of the international avantgarde in Berlin, particularly those of the Russian Constructivists Naum Gabo and Antoine Pevsner, who wrote the ‘Realistic Manifesto’, the benchmark for a radically new approach to sculpture in the 1920s. Uhlmann took the objectives formulated in the manifesto at face value, almost to the latter: “The plumb line in hand, the compass accurate as a ruler, the mind rigid as a compass, we are building our works as the universe builds. [...] We draw volume as a plastic form of space. [...] We draw, in sculpture, as a sculptural element. [...] We produce a new element in plastic arts: the kinetic rhythms, which are essential forms of our perception of real time.”

Towards the end of the 1920s, while lecturing full-time on electromechanics at Technische Universität Berlin, Uhlmann took his first steps as an artist. It is highly likely that he was familiar with both, the ‘Realistic Manifesto’ and the scientific research of earlier generations. His first solo exhibition of cage-like, golden wire sticks took place at Galerie Gurlitt in 1930. Shortly after the Nazis seized power, the communist sympathizer Uhlmann lost his lecturership. Leaftutting in the autumn of 1933, he was arrested by the Gestapo and incarcerated for alleged treason at Berlin-Tegel prison. Later he worked at National Knop in Naukia on the development of a calculating machine, while drawing and sculpting in hiding without the slightest prospect of exhibiting his works.

If we compare the exhibited works by Chadwick with those of Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven. If we compare the exhibited works by Chadwick with those of Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven.

Exhibiting together for the first time

Only a few years later, in 1940, a double exhibition with works by Chadwick and his British artist friend Kenneth Armitage toured through Germany. The show stayed at Städtische Kunstsammlung in Düsseldorf (today called Wilhelm Lehmbruck Museum) and at Haus am Waldsee. Werner Schmelander, at the time director of the Kasimir Wassiljewitsch and curator of the exhibition, examines Chadwick’s oeuvre: “...he is in all its stages in the accompanying catalogue. In particular, he highlights his architectural conception: ‘In their field Chadwick’s sculptures is what is called a skeleton structure in architecture: a frame construction with padding.’ While Schmelander does not consider Chadwick a ‘skeletoric’ sculptor, he nevertheless attributes constructive properties to the production process of the works because - as we saw above - the submarine structure of steel bars remains visible on the surface. In many instances the artist works with deviations from the triangular grid. Moreover, architecture ‘Schröder’ continues, ‘is in our time not only of “skeletoric” character. In reinforced concrete, people venture all kinds of dynamic constructions. Here lies an absonic connection to Uhlmann’s works, which emerge directly from the dynamic of line and form.”

The Dresden-based art historian Will Grohmann stresses in 1954: “Since Uhlmann considers art to be something absolute - while nature is, for him, not raw material but laws - he is on his own and sets out to make sculptures in the late 1940s, creating delicate mobiles from wire, which were sold by the London gallery Gimpel Fils in 1950. Both Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven. If we compare the exhibited works by Chadwick with those of Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven.”

The animal motif of a winged creature plays a central role particularly in the early works of Chadwick as a traditional symbol of free, dynamic movement, of thought and creativity. It appears remarkable, however, that the difference in sculptural habitus remains characteristic of both artists even towards the end of the 1940s. Will Grohmann observes: “While all the sculptors, who have used wire as their material, have created non-figurative works [...] Uhlmann connects these peripheral means [...] with figurative representations. The results are birds and other animals, figures, scenes of dancing and acrobatics, metaphors. [...] The animal motif of a winged creature plays a central role particularly in the early works of Chadwick as a traditional symbol of free, dynamic movement, of thought and creativity. It appears remarkable, however, that the difference in sculptural habitus remains characteristic of both artists evenwards the end of the 1940s. Will Grohmann observes: “While all the sculptors, who have used wire as their material, have created non-figurative works [...] Uhlmann connects these peripheral means [...] with figurative representations. The results are birds and other animals, figures, scenes of dancing and acrobatics, metaphors.”

The politician notes in a conversation with his biographer Werner Haftmann: “[The universe] cannot be read until we have learnt the language and become familiar with the characters in which it is written. It is written in mathematical language, and the letters are triangles, circles and other geometrical figures, without which means it is humanly impossible to comprehend a single word.”

Later, the art critic Camilla Blechen concludes in an article in the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung that Uhlmann was not actually engaging in ‘art on the building’ but ‘art in the building’. Working in close contact with the respective architect and a site-specific practice came naturally to Uhlmann.

Two projects, which are entered in the exhibition in the form of models, sketch Uhlmann’s journey from a free, spatial dynamism to a planar, interlocked space of form. Simultaneity, weightlessness and the motifs of splintering and folding play a crucial role here, just like they do in the works of Chadwick and Strunz.

Two works in public space

Uhlmann’s continued interest in the latest developments of the natural sciences is clearly demonstrated by a commission he won on the occasion of the exhibition ‘Interbau’ 1957 for Hansaplatz in Berlin. Uhlmann created a free-standing figure made of chrominickel steel that towers over three double legs spread wide (fig. 4). In between, thin steel pipes with polished steel balls rear diagonally upwards and downwards, marking the highest point of a pendular movement. Uhlmann’s sculpture on Hansaplatz appears like a confident counterpart, a pointed challenge to the Konrad Adenauer Haus (today called Wilhelm Lehmbruck Museum) and at Haus am Waldsee. Werner Schmelander, at the time director of the Kasimir Wassiljewitsch and curator of the exhibition, examines Chadwick’s oeuvre: “...he is in all its stages in the accompanying catalogue. In particular, he highlights his architectural conception: ‘In their field Chadwick’s sculptures is what is called a skeleton structure in architecture: a frame construction with padding.’ While Schmelander does not consider Chadwick a ‘skeletoric’ sculptor, he nevertheless attributes constructive properties to the production process of the works because - as we saw above - the submarine structure of steel bars remains visible on the surface. In many instances the artist works with deviations from the triangular grid. Moreover, architecture ‘Schröder’ continues, ‘is in our time not only of “skeletoric” character. In reinforced concrete, people venture all kinds of dynamic constructions. Here lies an absonic connection to Uhlmann’s works, which emerge directly from the dynamic of line and form.”

The Dresden-based art historian Will Grohmann stresses in 1954: “Since Uhlmann considers art to be something absolute - while nature is, for him, not raw material but laws - he is on his own and sets out to make sculptures in the late 1940s, creating delicate mobiles from wire, which were sold by the London gallery Gimpel Fils in 1950. Both Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven. If we compare the exhibited works by Chadwick with those of Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven.”

Looking at this sculpture we can imagine hearing the high-strung tension with which this creature senses and scents the imminent motif. What we have to count my commissioned works among the most important works I was able to realise [...].’”

Additional, the artists are likely to have met after 1952 at the Venice Bienale, “documenta” (1955), “documenta” (1959) and “documenta” III (1964) in Kassel, where they both had works on display, as well as at “documenta 6” in 1977, in which Uhlmann participated. To the surprise of most observers, Chadwick won the International Prize for Sculpture at the 28th Venice Bienale in 1956. Overall, that success turned him into a recognised star of the international art world.

The Dresden-based art historian Will Grohmann stresses in 1954: “Since Uhlmann considers art to be something absolute - while nature is, for him, not raw material but laws - he is on his own and sets out to make sculptures in the late 1940s, creating delicate mobiles from wire, which were sold by the London gallery Gimpel Fils in 1950. Both Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven. If we compare the exhibited works by Chadwick with those of Katja Strunz and Hans Uhlmann, we venture into an experiment, which relies on the viewer’s readiness to engage sensively with their content and context. The works of Chadwick, Uhlmann, and Katja Strunz are interwoven.”

Towards the end of the 1920s, while lecturing full-time on electromechanics at Technische Universität Berlin, Uhlmann took his first steps as an artist. It is highly likely that he was familiar with both, the ‘Realistic Manifesto’ and the scientific research of earlier generations. His first solo exhibition of cage-like, golden wire sticks took place at Galerie Gurlitt in 1930. Shortly after the Nazis seized power, the communist sympathizer Uhlmann lost his lecturership. Leaftutting in the autumn of 1933, he was arrested by the Gestapo and incarcerated for alleged treason at Berlin-Tegel prison. Later he worked at
engineering works in 1961. Uhlmann placed it between the street and the building. The main motif is folded back onto itself and as such a traumatised repetition and of ‘form’ as a fragmentation of a whole strictly symmetric in geometric terms. It speaks of rhythmic wing beats falling apart. It would seem more natural to expect this notion of a taking to the sky like sound.

Propensities to folded constructions

Irrespective of their many differences, the sculptor-engineers Chadwick and Uhlmann saw eye to eye in the constructivist approach, which resulted in structures that increasingly fan out symmetrically. In the final years of his life, Chadwick found clearly formulated geometric shapes in large formats. In the 1990s, he created his last series of ‘beasts’, drawing on the motifs of the older bronze sculptures. Employing the material of chromium-nickel steel, which he had rarely used before, Chadwick rejuvenated the motif. This transformation process led to creatures with triangular, polygonally fragmented surfaces. While Uhlmann interlaced black plates of steel, Chadwick covered his creations with a closed stainless steel skin made of triangular surfaces. In direct sunlight, these creatures appear strangely artificial, as if the older works of the same motif had been stuck in armoured casings in preparation of a flight to Mars. Opposite, Uhlmann’s ‘Entfaltung’ (unfolding) stands tinted black like a constructed cactus from another galaxy. Uhlmann died in 1975 at the age of seventy-five. His oeuvre hardly transcends the multifaceted space utopia of the 1960s. In direct sunlight, these creatures appear strangely artificial, as if the older works of the same motif had been stuck in armoured casings in preparation of a flight to Mars. Opposite, Uhlmann’s ‘Entfaltung’ (unfolding) stands tinted black like a constructed cactus from another galaxy. Uhlmann died in 1975 at the age of seventy-five. His oeuvre hardly transcends the multifaceted space utopia of the 1960s.

In precisely this sense, her great folding work ‘Zeitraum #7’ (2004), a fragmented wall work, takes centre-stage in the exhibition.
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